Merrimack School Board Meeting 1 2 **Town Hall Meeting Room** October 5, 2015 3 4 **PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES** 5 6 7 Present: Chairman Ortega, Vice Chair Barnes, Board Members Guagliumi, Schneider and 8 Powell, Superintendent Chiafery, Assistant Superintendent McLaughlin, Business Administrator 9 Shevenell, Student Representative Jacob (Jake) Marcus. 10 1. 11 Pledge of Allegiance 12 13 Chairman Ortega called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. 14 Chairman Ortega led the Pledge of Allegiance. 15 16 17 2. **Approval of September 21, 2015 Minutes** 18 19 Vice Chair Barnes moved (seconded by Board Member Schneider) to approve the minutes of the September 21, 2015 meeting. 20 21 22 Board Member Powell requested the following changes to the minutes: Page 7 of 8, line 328 change Greater Woods to Grater Woods 23 24 25 Board Member Schneider requested the following changes to the minutes: Page 4 of 8, line 151, at end of sentence add "at that location." 26 • Page 4 of 8, line 182, change to say "keep the bleachers at the Smith Gym in 2017-2018. 27 28 29 Vice Chair Barnes requested the following changes to the minutes: Page 7 of 8, line 289, at end of sentence add "and the Board should also have a position 30 related to water safety as it serves students in all buildings. 31 32 Chairman Ortega requested the following changes to the minutes: 33 • Page 4 of 8, after line 158 add "There was a survey taken and there will be feedback from it." 34 35 36 The motion passed as amended 5-0-0. 37 38 Student Representative Marcus voted In Favor. 39 3. 40 **Public Participation** 41 42 There was no public participation. 43

4. Acceptance of Gifts/Grants under \$5,000

Business Administrator Shevenell presented three gifts to the school district. The first is from the Lifetouch National School Studios to the James Mastricola Upper Elementary School in the amount of \$1,186.00. This gift will be used to support the Artist in Residence Program. The James Mastricola Upper Elementary School Arts Committee has commissioned an artist to work with students to design and create a mosaic for the front entrance of the school.

The second gift is to the Thorntons Ferry Elementary School from USA Track & Field in the form of a \$1,000.00 Run Jump Throw equipment kit grant. The grant money will be used to purchase track & field equipment and to establish an after school track & field club at the elementary school level.

The third gift is to the Reeds Ferry School from Shaw's Supermarkets in the amount of \$400.00. This is part of the Adopt-A-School program entitled "We Love our Schools," which has been undertaken by the Shaw's Supermarkets Stores.

Vice Chair Barnes moved (seconded by Board Member Guagliumi) to accept the donations with gratitude.

The motion passed 5-0-0.

Student Representative Marcus voted In Favor.

5. Consent Agenda

a) Assistant Superintendent McLaughlin presented the following educator nominations:

72 - Katherine Hedstrom Guidance Counselor Merrimack High School

Board Member Powell moved (seconded by Board Member Schneider) to accept the consent agenda as presented.

The motion passed 5-0-0.

6. A School System's (Amherst, Mont Vernon, Souhegan) 175 Day Hours-Based Calendar

Chairman Ortega welcomed Superintendent Peter Warburton and the other esteemed guests to the meeting. He asked that while introducing the group that each person's role in the process be described.

- 86 Superintendent Warburton introduced the members of the group: Gerry St. Amand, Clark
- 87 Wilkins School Principal; Larry Ballard, President of the Amherst Education Association; Betty
- 88 Shankel, Business Administrator; Carrie James, Human Resources Director; Porter Dodge,
- 89 Middle School Principal and Rob Schully, High School Principal.

Superintendent Warburton opened by clarifying the issue on late starts and stated that this has been around for a long time and is a contractual issue in one of the districts that has nothing to do with the 175 day calendar.

Superintendent Warburton then stated that Business Administrator Shankel would then read questions that the Merrimack School Board had submitted prior to the meeting and supply responses.

Business Manager Shankel opened by noting that the process was begun in 2010 and rolled out in 2014. Acceleration would have been difficult for several reasons; three districts were involved, employee agreements were staggered, and busing contracts.

Chairman Ortega asked what drove the districts to go through this process and then decide to move forward with the 175 day calendar.

 Business Manager Shankel responded that there had been snow and flooding over the years that caused an extension to the school year. One solution was to add time to the school days in May and June which caused difficulties for teachers, students and parents. Graduation dates were changed and had negative impacts on family and friends making travel plans. The average amount of days to be made up was about ten each year.

Amherst Education Association Member Larry Ballard stressed having a clear vision of the why and the how before making this type of change.

Superintendent Warburton stated that when he came on board in 2011 the conversation was about a concentrated 10 months school routine for students. The day was increased by 20 minutes.

Business Manager Shankel and Amherst Education Association Member Larry Ballard spoke to the positive position taken by the bargaining units once the details were explained. The initial skepticism disappeared as the process moved to completion. New contract language allows for a return to a 180 day calendar without having to renegotiate the contract. It can be found as an appendix in the teacher contract.

Superintendent Warburton shared that right now in the state of New Hampshire there are 32 districts at less than 180 days, 6 districts at 175 days, and 134 districts at 180 days.

On the question of how the change impacted curriculum. Superintendent Warburton asked if
each Principal would speak about his specific school. He noted that there had not yet been an in
depth discussion but noted that upcoming parent surveys would provide helpful. One of the
concerns was the potential for regression among the Special Education population.

High School Principal Rob Schully noted that initially teachers loved having the extra time. As the year progressed there seemed to be an accordion effect where time became compressed and teachers felt challenged to finish the material. Testing was also an issue. A more proactive approach was used this year to map out progressions and there will be an in depth analysis around the delivery of instruction. He noted that the challenges of moving to a competency based education, curriculum changes, the shorter calendar and testing all need to be considered as part of the start to finish planning.

Middle School Principal Porter Dodge echoed the sentiments of Principal Schully in teachers feeling rushed at the end of the year. He commented on the importance of teachers using the time efficiently. He stressed that the last few days of school are now active teaching days and that books were not collected a week early.

Principal St. Amand noted the benefits to the instruction of science, social studies and handwriting. He also noted that upon returning from April vacation teachers felt the challenge to complete the material.

Business Manager Shankel responded to the question of the impact presentation and if the content was from a previous time. The only difference was a budget change for a Veritime timeclock that was needed and had no bearing on any potential calendar change.

On the question of contract negotiations, issues and how the process went, Business Manager Shankel noted the many small issues and language changes that were resolved smoothly.

Principal St. Amand stated that the initial feedback from teachers and families was very favorable. Early winter planning to ward off regression over the 13 week summer break resulting in new enrichment programs using leftover Title I monies. He noted that a problem was many of the local providers were not offering child care when school got out on June 10th. That will be addressed this year.

Superintendent Warburton stated a goal of notifying outside providers of the end of school date.

Superintendent Chiafery asked about the extended school year for the special education population.

Business Manager Shankel noted that one extra week of school was run last year and that could move to two weeks next year. Moving to two weeks would impact any money savings from the 175 day calendar.

Business Manager Shankel spoke on the busing contract change from 180 to 170 days that produced a cost savings.

Amherst Education Association Member Larry Ballard spoke as a parent and noted that it was easy to plan things once the dates were known. This is due to the fact that a two-year calendar was voted on by the School Boards to assist parents in planning vacations and child care.

Business Manager Shevenell asked about the initiation of the timeclock system and if it applied to teacher and support staff and was told it only applied to support staff.

Business Manager Shevenell asked if there had been any thought to a two year budget and was told no. The challenge of managing three districts is enough of a challenge.

184

107

On the question of how to survey parents, the online format in Survey Monkey was used. The SAU Board needed to agree for this to go forward.

187

Business Manager Shevenell asked Human Resources Director Carrie James if she noted a reduction in employee absences later in the year and was told that leave was converted to the hours based system. People are paid in 15 minute increments. Employee timecards feed into ASOP. ASOP and Veritime are integrated with Infinite Visions.

192

Board Member Powell thanked the guests and asked how many more days there would have been last year on the old calendar and was told 12 days.

195

Board Member Schneider asked about the possibility of a year with only one snow day used and was told that there are five extra days in the calendar. The 170 day calendar has about the same amount of hours as the 180 day calendar. The first five snow days would not be made up.

199 200

Amherst Education Association Member Larry Ballard noted that the 170 day calendar and the 180 day calendar have almost the same amount of hours in them.

201202203

Student Representative Marcus asked if the extended school day negatively affected teacher availability after school for students' access.

204205206

Middle School Principal Dodge spoke to the willingness of schools to accommodate other schools' schedules.

207208

High School Principal Schully spoke about the non-compete clause that prevented activities from being scheduled before 3:00 p.m. Students so far seem to be taking more advantage of after school teacher help, the library and other opportunities.

212

Amherst Education Association Member Larry Ballard noted that the twenty additional minutes is broken up into five minutes in the morning and fifteen minutes at the end of the day.

215

Student Representative Marcus asked how a two hour delay in the winter would be counted and was told it would not be issue.

218

Chairman Ortega asked if a combination of two hour delays and snow days increased above the five extended days that then the time would be made up.

221

Business Manager Shankel said that the snow days would be made up but not the two hour delays.

224

Vice-Chair Barnes asked about "Blizzard Bags" and Superintendent Warburton said they do not use them and he does not think they are affective.

High School Principal Schully noted that this issue did come up during their process and 228 229 suggested Merrimack being strategic about this issue and having a response prepared. 230 231 Vice Chair Barnes noted that parents ask about this every year and asked if the question was asked and vetted and found to not be viable and was told yes. 232 233 234 Chairman Ortega noted that the concept of blizzard bags does not fit with the concept of 235 instructional hours. 236 237 Board Member Guagliumi asked about the initial and ongoing parent feedback. 238 Business Manager Shankel spoke about the teacher designed parent survey and noted that 239 parents were not in favor of changing the February and April vacations or having school starting 240 before Labor Day. 241 242 Board Member Guagliumi asked if there were any additional insights or lessons learned that 243 244 could be shared. 245 246 Business Manager Shankel noted that additional professional development had not been addressed because the focus was on not reducing instructional hours. 247 248 249 High School Principal Schully noted the exciting changes in education going on and encouraged looking through a long lens and asking the question, "what should be the schedule for a next 250 generation student in a competency based education where there is much more fluidity as to 251 when you might finish?" He also noted that in the ongoing discussions is the idea of an extended 252 school year for an immersion program from June 5th to July 2nd as well as extensions for other 253 small populations such as special education. 254 255 256 Superintendent Warburton noted that going forward innovative thinking about educational changes will be the next phase of talks. 257 258 259 Amherst Education Association Member Larry Ballard reiterated the importance of laying out 260 the how to the teachers by showing a sample building schedule. 261 Elementary School Principal St. Amand noted that building maintenance needs to be addressed. 262 263 Superintendent Warburton spoke on the parent request to have more time with their children in 264 the summer. 265 266 Board Member Schneider asked how much advance warning was given to parents before 267

Board Member Schneider asked what other things were taken into account in the agreements.

March. The information was then put out to the public.

implementing this program and was told that contract negotiations were not finalized until

268269

Business Manager Shankel gave some examples as the start and end time bells, sick days, and personal days. The calendar was called a 175 day calendar the first year and will now be referred to as an hours based calendar. She recommends using the new terminology upon inception.

Amherst Education Association Member Larry Ballard reiterated that there is an appendix at the back of the collective bargaining agreement that addresses all of the changes.

Board Member Schneider noted that this allows for reverse engineering if there is a return to the 180 day calendar.

Business Administrator Shevenell asked if the late start days are professional development days and if the days are counted as instructional time and was told they are professional development days and that they are not counted as instructional time.

Student Representative Marcus asked about the issue of classes at the end of the year running out of time.

High School Principal Schully noted that he moved the schedule from a seven period to eight period day double block schedule and this allowed minutes to be regained. End of year projects, work with the PACE initiative, and imbedded finals also lessened the impact of lost time.

Chairman Ortega thanked all of the guests for attending and sharing their experiences. He noted that their input was invaluable to discussions that Merrimack has recently begun in concept.

7. Approval of Second Board Letter to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Regarding the Proposed Gas Pipeline

Chairman Ortega reminded the Board that at the last meeting Vice Chair Barnes had shared the information that the proposed alternative route is now being considered as the plan and is to be submitted to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and that response time is tight.

Per direction from the School Board, Chairman Ortega spoke with Town Council Chair Nancy Harrington last week and was informed that there will be an opportunity to attend a special meeting scheduled for Thursday, October 8, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. It will be held in the Matthew Thornton Room in Town Hall. The sole agenda item of this meeting is a workshop with Kinder Morgan about the proposed routes.

According to Town Council Chair Harrington, subsequent to the workshop it is expected that Kinder Morgan might submit a proposed route and it is unclear whether the comment period will be extended past October 12, 2015.

Chairman Ortega recommended that the Board entertain a letter to FERC about what is known about the proposed route at this point in time and use the meeting on Thursday to speak directly to Kinder Morgan representatives about the facts regarding the proposed route.

Board Member Guagliumi asked the Board to clarify their position on the route distance of 1,000 feet from the schools and where the measurement point begins.

Chairman Ortega noted that he addressed this more specifically in his second letter.

Vice Chair Barnes reiterated her concern about the water supply and the proximity of the proposed route to the aquifer. She noted that the Town Council has taken a position against any water contamination and that the School Board should state a position also despite neither body being a governing body over the water supply. The concern is the possibility of bringing contaminated water into the schools.

Board Member Schneider made the comment that the new proposed route goes through the Pennichuck aquifer and not the primary Merrimack water supply which is well-based. He questioned whether or not the Town has communicated with the Pennichuck Water District about the impact on the Merrimack water supply from the newly proposed route.

Chairman Ortega moved (seconded by Vice Chair Barnes) to enter the draft letter into the record and that the letter be sent tomorrow. For context, Chairman Ortega read the first letter and then the proposed second letter.

The first letter was sent to Secretary Kimberley Bose of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and reads as follows:

July 14, 2015

344 Secretary Kimberly Bose
345 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
346 888 First Street, NE Room 1A
347 Washington, DC 20426

Dear Ms. Bose:

The Merrimack School District (SAU #26) opposes, by unanimous vote of the School Board, any route that comes within 1,000 feet of a district school building. We hope that proposed route referenced below, and future proposed routes, be removed from consideration to avoid impacts to a facility where our children and employees congregate.

 On Tuesday, June 30, 2015, the Town of Amherst (NH) Pipeline Taskforce held a meeting with representatives of the Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company/Kinder Morgan. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss alternative options for the pipeline route through Amherst currently under analysis by Kinder Morgan. Please refer to the July 10, 2015 letter to you from Amherst Town Administrator, James O'Mara, Jr.

 I call to your attention something that was not discussed at that meeting nor in its summarization; the Option 1 route proposed and discussed at that meeting, running along Continental Blvd in Merrimack, comes within 500 feet of Thorntons Ferry School, located at 134 Camp Sargent Rd, Merrimack, NH. Thorntons Ferry School is a K-4 school in our district with a daily population of over 500 students and 85 staff members.

368 I respectfully ask that this letter and the accompanying documents be included as part of the public 369 record.

370

371 Thank you for your consideration of this information.

372

373 Sincerely,

374

Christopher S. Ortega 375

376 Chairman, Merrimack School Board, SAU 26

377 378

Chairman Ortega then read the proposed second letter to be dated October 4, 2015.

379

380 October 4, 2015

381

382 Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary 383 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Room 1A 384 Washington, DC 20426

385

386 387

Re: Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, LLC ("TGP") Docket No. PF14-22-000: Proposed Northeast Energy Direct ("NED")

388 389 390

Dear Ms. Bose:

391 392

393

The Merrimack School District (SAU #26) wrote to you on July 14, 2015 in unanimous opposition to a proposed alternate route of the Proposed NED pipeline coming within 500 feet of the Thorntons Ferry *Elementary School. I have attached a copy of that letter for your reference.*

394 395 396

It has recently come to our attention that Kinder Morgan will be submitting to you a modified plan to run along that referenced route. I call to your attention a few facts:

397 398

Thorntons Ferry School located at 134 Camp Sargent Rd, Merrimack, NH is a K-4 school in our district with a daily population of over 500 students and 85 staff members.

399 400 401

The proposed route runs within ~ 500 feet of the Thorntons Ferry School playground which is used daily by the school population.

402 403 404

The proposed route runs within ~ 1100 feet of the Thorntons Ferry School building.

405 406

407

The proposed route runs directly through 13 acres of Merrimack School District property which can be found on the attached map roughly between MP 23.4 and MP 23.5.

408 409 410 The Merrimack School District opposes, by unanimous vote of the School Board, any route on or within one thousand (1,000) feet of district school property. We hope that the proposed route referenced below, and future proposed routes, be removed from consideration to avoid safety impacts to a facility where our children and employees congregate.

411 412 413

On Thursday, October 8, 2015 at 7 pm the Merrimack Town Council is holding a meeting in the Matthew Thornton Room at Town Hall to conduct a workshop related to the latest proposed alternate pipeline route with Kinder Morgan. At this meeting we will share these facts, our concerns, and our opposition to the currently planned route.

415 416

417	I respectfully ask that this letter and the accompanying documents be included as part of the public
418	record.
419	
420	Thank you for your consideration of this information.
421	Cin const.
422 423	Sincerely,
424	Christopher S. Ortega
425	Chairman, Merrimack School Board, SAU 26
426	Chairman, Fizer mater School Scarta, 5120 20
427	Discussion ensued among the Board Members.
428	
429	Board Member Schneider moved (seconded by Board Member Guagliumi) to amend the second
430	bullet point to say "playground and the outdoor instructional area which are currently used daily
431	by the school population".
432	
433	The motion passed 5-0-0.
434	1
435	Student Representative Marcus voted in Favor.
436	1
437	Board Member Powell asked for clarification about the upcoming Thursday workshop and if
438	Kinder Morgan representatives would be in attendance.
439	
440	Chairman Ortega responded that Kinder Morgan representatives would be in attendance. He
441	understood the workshop to be a forum to clarify issues that may exist with a number of the
442	abutting properties that the route is expected to go through. Also expected to be at the meeting
443	are the Merrimack Village District, the Conservation Commission, and Chairman Ortega as
444	requested by Town Council Chair Harrington. This is not to be a testimony type of meeting.
445	
446	Board Member Schneider noted his interest in the meeting and in hearing how Pennichuck
447	participates in the Merrimack water supply.
448	
449	Vice Chair Barnes followed up by stating that the Board should take a proactive position on the
450	water issue and moved (seconded by Board Member Guagliumi) to include the statement: "The
451	Merrimack School Board also opposes any project that would impact the water supply delivered
452	to district facilities."
453	
454	A lengthy discussion ensued among the Board Members.
455	
456	Vice Chair Barnes amended the motion (seconded by Board Member Guagliumi) to say "would
457	negatively impact."
458	
459	This would be inserted after the first bolded sentence in the proposed letter read by Chairman
460	Ortega.
461	
462	The motion passed 5-0-0.
463	

Vice Chair Barnes moved (seconded by Board Member Guagliumi) based upon input from
Student Representative Marcus, to add the statement "In summary, the Merrimack School Board
opposes any outcome of this project that would negatively impact the health and well-being of it
staff and students."

This would be inserted above the paragraph that begins "I respectfully ask that this letter..."

The motion passed 5-0-0.

475 Student Representative Marcus voted In Favor.

Student Representative Marcus voted In Favor.

Vice Chair Barnes moved (seconded by Board Member Guagliumi) that the letter be approved as amended.

480 The motion passed 5-0-0.

482 Student Representative Marcus voted In Favor.

8. Board's Message to the Superintendent for 2016-2017 Budget Preparation

Chairman Ortega read into the minutes his summarization of Board Members messages to the Superintendent for 2016-2017 budget preparation that were presented at the last meeting.

Chairman Ortega noted that during his tenure the Superintendent and administration have always addressed the message contents. He then read the message into the record.

Merrimack School Board's Budget Message for 2016/2017

For the 2016-2017 budget cycle it is the Merrimack School Board's desire that school district administration budget for a high quality education for our students while keeping the taxpayer in mind.

The Board has budgetary expectations which include, but are not limited to, the following:

• (First) We will remain committed to the School District Logic Model, which was recently updated. By supporting the long and short-term goals and objectives in the Logic Model we have made continuous progress in the areas of literacy, numeracy, technology, school climate and safety. We look for progress in student-directed learning and the goal of supporting lifelong learners.

• (Second) We will continue to make data driven decisions. Financial support will be applied only to those programs and tools that have been proven successful by data.

• (Third) We will maintain appropriate staffing levels based on enrollments and the needs of our students. In support of this we will use enrollment projections for all grade levels, class offerings, and ongoing district initiatives (e.g. Educator Performance Evaluation, standardized testing) in making decisions.

• (Fourth) We will continue to prioritize projects contained on our Capital Improvement Plan. We will need to consistently plan ahead for various projects so as not to overburden any one particular budget cycle. We take responsibility for our buildings. Required maintenance projects, such as roofing, should be included in the operating budget and not placed on the ballot as warrant articles.

• (Fifth) We will be forward thinking with our support of curriculum and technology. It is the Board's desire that the district continue to look for efficiencies with our use of technology while maintaining, improving, and expanding our infrastructure and staff readiness in an effort to bring our capabilities as current as possible. This will need to include, but is not limited to the ability to support evolving standardized testing requirements.

• (Sixth) We will continue to examine co-curricular programs in an effort to streamline our offerings and strive for equity in per participant funding between academic clubs and athletics and provide supporting historical data on budgetary and booster/individual funding as part of the budget.

 • (Seventh) We support administration's efforts to create as close to a level-funded budget (as possible) while appreciating its associated challenges. Any additions or reductions to staffing and/or programs proposed by Administration should clearly include details of the consequences of such actions with the Board and its constituency. In summary, we will remain prudent with our expenditures without sacrificing the high quality of our students' education.

9. Yearend Surplus to Reduce Taxes

Chairman Ortega invited Business Administrator Shevenell to provide specifics about the surplus.

Business Administrator Shevenell stated that at year end June 30, 2015 there was a surplus of \$4.4 million dollars to be returned to offset this year's tax rate. He provided a general breakdown of where the surplus came from and stated that he will be providing a more detailed breakdown before he goes before the budget committee in November.

The HealthTrust unanticipated revenue of \$1.1 million dollars was a large part of the surplus.

Additionally, unexpected catastrophic aid and Medicaid brought the revenue to about \$2 million dollars.

On the budget side about \$2.5 million dollars was underspent as follows:

553554

555

556

557

558

- One million dollars in the areas of personnel and benefits.
- \$200,000 in the area of maintenance and utilities, (mostly electricity and natural gas conversion).
- \$1 million dollars in the Special Education department due to a reduction in out of district placements. This is mainly due to Brentwood closing. An unfortunate side effect was that students dropped out of the system and did not return.

559560561

Business Administrator Shevenell cautioned that the HealthTrust, CAT Aid and Medicaid are not to be expected every year.

562563564

Chairman Ortega asked for a clarification on the HealthTrust refund and if it was a gross or net figure and was told it was the net amount.

565566567

Board Member Guagliumi asked Business Administrator Shevenell to remind the Board what the prior year surplus was and was told it was about \$4.8 million dollars. On average the surplus is about \$2.7 million dollars.

569 570 571

568

Board Member Schneider asked if the higher average was due to revenue and budget combined and was told yes. He noted that the district is being more efficient in budgeting and spending.

572573574

Business Administrator Shevenell stated that Merrimack goes on the open market to negotiate deals on energy costs and in other areas.

575 576 577

10. Other

578 579

a) Correspondence

580

There was no correspondence.

581 582 583

b) Comments

584 585

586

Board Member Guagliumi requested that a thank you letter be sent to the guests from the Amherst, Mont Vernon, Souhegan combined school districts thanking them for attending and sharing their experiences in moving to an hours-based calendar.

587 588 589

Chairman Ortega noted that Superintendent Chiafery would send a thank you letter.

590

11. New Business

591 592

Chairman Ortega noted that two Board Members had recently attended a HealthTrust Summit and then provided the materials to their fellow members. At the next meeting of the School Board, HealthTrust representative Debbie Clayton will attend and speak about health care trends and the issues that can be expected to arise. He asked Board Members to review the material and send questions in advance to the superintendent.

598				
599	12.	Committee Reports		
600				
601 602	Board Member Schneider noted that he and Board Member Guagliumi attended the HealthTrust Summit and will hold input for when Debbie Clayton makes her presentation. It was noted that			
603 604	Merrimack was cited as an example of the right way to do things.			
605 606	Board Member Schneider attended the Merrimack Safeguard Committee meeting on October 1s and an election for chairman was held. The former chairperson Kay Murphy has moved out of			
607 608	the area. Retired Middle School Principal Debbie Woelflein offered her services to serve as interim chair until someone steps forward.			
609				
610	The prescription drug take back was held on September 26 th and a total of 41 pounds of drugs			
611	was picked up by the police department. Added to the box at the front entrance to the police			
612	statio	n this came to 214 total pounds to be turned into the state.		
613				
614	To comply with a grant requirement, Merrimack Safeguard focus groups met with businesses			
615 616	around town to discuss risk management and drug awareness. Some suggestions were to advertise at the movie theatre and to look at the middle school National Outcomes Measure			
617	Survey (NOMS).			
618	Surve	y (1401415).		
619	13.	Public Comments on Agenda Items		
620		and the second s		
621	There were no public comments.			
622				
623	14.	Manifest		
624				
625	The Board signed the manifest.			
626				
627		At 9:20 p.m. Board Member Powell moved (seconded by Vice Chair Barnes) to enter non-public		
628	sessic	session per RSA 91-A: 3, II, (a), (b), (c).		

629630 A roll call vote was taken. The motion passed 5-0-0.

At 10:30 p.m. Vice Chair Barnes moved (seconded by Board Member Schneider) to adjourn the

633 meeting.634

631

The motion passed 5-0-0.